Math 73/103: Measure Theory and Complex Analysis Fall 2019 - Homework 2

All Rudin-problems are from his 3rd edition.

1. Page 32 of Rudin, problem #6. (Note that we have already shown that \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra so there is no need to show it again.)

- 2. Page 32 of Rudin, problem #7.
- 3. Page 32 of Rudin, problem #10.
- 4. Page 32 of Rudin, problem #12. (This is easy if f is bounded.)

5. Suppose that Y is a topological space and that \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra in Y containing all the Borel sets. Suppose in addition, μ is a measure on (Y, \mathcal{M}) such that for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) : V \text{ is open and } E \subset V\}.$$
(1)

Suppose also that

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n \quad \text{with } \mu(Y_n) < \infty \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$
(2)

One says that μ is a σ -finite outer regular measure on (Y, \mathcal{M}) .

- (a) Show that Lebesgue measure m is a σ -finite outer regular measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M})$.
- (b) Suppose E is a μ -measurable subset of Y.
 - (i) Given $\varepsilon > 0$, show that there is an open set $V \subset Y$ and a closed set $F \subset Y$ such that $F \subset E \subset V$ and $\mu(V \setminus F) < \varepsilon$.
- (c) Argue that $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}, m)$ is the completion of the restriction of Lebesgue measure to the Borel sets in \mathbb{R} .

6. Let *m* be Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and suppose that *E* is a set of finite measure. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, show that there is a finite *disjoint* union *F* of open intervals such that $m(E \triangle F) < \varepsilon$ where $E \triangle F := (E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)$ is the symmetric difference. (This illustrates the first of Littlewood's three principles: "Every Lebesgue measurable set is nearly a disjoint union of open intervals".)

- 7. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space, and let $(X, \mathcal{M}_0, \mu_0)$ be its completion.
 - (a) Let $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a μ_0 -measurable function and assume that $g: X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a μ -measurable function such that f = g a.e. $[\mu_0]$. Is there necessarily a μ -null set N such that f(x) = g(x) for all $x \notin N$?
 - (b) If $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ is μ_0 -measurable, show that there is a μ -measurable function $g: X \to \mathbb{C}$ such that f = g a.e. $[\mu_0]$.
 - (c) What does this result say about Lebesgue measurable functions and Borel functions on \mathbb{R} ? (Compare with problem #14 on page 59 of Rudin.)